

## Lecture 32, Mar 27, 2026

### Adaptive Regulation – Summary

- Now that we have all the pieces required, we can put them together to perform adaptive regulation
- Consider the system  $x(k+1) = Ax(k) + Bu(k) + E\xi(k)$  where:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(k+1) &= S\xi(k) \\ e(k) &= Cx(k) + D\xi(k)\end{aligned}$$

- $S, E, D$  are unknown
- $A, B, C$  are known
- $e(k)$  is measurable

#### Theorem

For the exosystem

$$\begin{aligned}\xi(k+1) &= S\xi(k) \\ d(k) &= \Gamma\xi(k)\end{aligned}$$

where  $d(k) \in \mathbb{R}$ , assuming  $(\Gamma, S)$  is observable,  $(F, G)$  controllable,  $\sigma(F) \cap \sigma(S) = \emptyset$ , and  $F$  is Schur stable, then there exists a nonsingular  $M \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$  which solves the Sylvester equation  $MS = FM + G\Gamma$ .

- With the coordinate transformation  $w(k) = M\xi(k)$ , we get the exosystem  $w(k+1) = Fw(k) + Gd(k)$ 

$$d(k) = \psi^T w(k)$$
  - Note that since  $w(k+1) = (F + G\psi^T)w(k)$ , we have  $\sigma(S) = \sigma(F + G\psi^T)$
- Assume that a solution to the regulator equations exists:  $\Pi S = A\Pi + B\Gamma + E$ 

$$0 = C\Pi + D$$
  1.  $(A, B)$  is controllable
  2.  $(C, A)$  is observable
  3.  $\sigma(S)$  are *simple* (i.e. algebraic multiplicity 1) and on the unit circle in  $\mathbb{C}$
  4. *Nonresonance condition*:  $\det \begin{bmatrix} A - \lambda I & B \\ C & 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq 0, \forall \lambda \in \sigma(S)$ 
    - We are checking if any of the frequencies in the exosystem will be cancelled by the plant
    - This is similar to making sure there are no pole zero cancellations between the exosystem and plant
- Let the error  $z(k) = x(k) - \Pi\xi(k)$ , which has dynamics  $z(k+1) = Az(k) + Bu(k) - B\Gamma\xi(k)$ 

$$\begin{aligned}&= Az(k) + Bu(k) - B\psi^T w(k) \\ e(k) &= Cz(k)\end{aligned}$$
- We use the controller  $u(k) = u_s(k) + u_{im}(k)$ 
  - $u_s(k)$  is the stabilizer, and we expect  $u_s(k) \rightarrow 0$
  - $u_{im}(k)$  contains the internal model, and we expect this to be a steady state
- We use the disturbance observer  $\hat{z}_d(k+1) = A\hat{z}_d(k) + Bu(k) + L_d(e(k) - C\hat{z}_d(k))$  where we choose  $L_d$  such that  $A - L_dC$  is Schur stable
  - Let the estimation error  $\tilde{z}_d(k) = \hat{z}_d(k) - z(k) \implies \tilde{z}_d(k+1) = A_d\tilde{z}_d(k) + Bd(k)$  where  $A_d = A - L_dC$
  - Define the filtered disturbance  $d_f(k) = C\tilde{z}_d(k) = C\hat{z}_d(k) - e(k)$ , which can be interpreted as  $d(k)$  filtered through the LTI system  $\tilde{z}_d(k+1) = A_d\tilde{z}_d(k) + Bd(k)$ 

$$d_f(k) = C\tilde{z}_d(k)$$
    - \* Note that  $d_f(k)$  is measurable
    - \* Note that technically, depending on the initial conditions,  $d_f(k)$  will have transients, but  $d(k)$  is steady-state; therefore we rely on the lemma below

## Theorem

For the exosystem

$$\begin{aligned} w(k+1) &= Fw(k) + Gd(k) \\ d(k) &= \psi^T w(k) \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A_d = A - L_d C$  be Schur stable, then there exists initial conditions  $z_d(0) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that the steady-state  $d_{f,ss}(k)$  obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} z_d(k+1) &= A_d z_d(k) + B d(k) \\ d_{f,ss}(k) &= C z_d(k) \end{aligned}$$

can be generated by a model

$$\begin{aligned} w_f(k+1) &= F w_f(k) + G d_{f,ss}(k) \\ d_{f,ss}(k) &= \psi^T w_f(k) \end{aligned}$$

and there exists a nonsingular  $H_f \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times q}$  such that  $w(k) = H_f w_f(k)$ .

- Intuitively, the above is due to the fact that LTI systems don't change frequency content, so the filtered disturbance  $d_f(k)$  should have the same frequencies as the unfiltered  $d(k)$ ; therefore  $d_f(k)$  can be generated with a system with the same  $F$  and  $G$  that generated  $d(k)$ , and the two systems differ only by a coordinate transformation
- To get  $w_f(k)$ , we build the observer  $\hat{w}_f(k+1) = F\hat{w}_f(k) + Gd_f(k)$ 

$$= F\hat{w}_f(k) + G(C\hat{z}_d(k) - e(k))$$
  - This leads to exponential convergence of  $w_f(k) \rightarrow w(k)$
- Now the disturbance becomes  $d(k) = \Gamma\xi(k)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} &= \psi^T w(k) \\ &= \psi^T H_f^{-1} w_f(k) \\ &= \psi_f^T w_f(k) \\ &= \psi_f^T \hat{w}_f(k) + \varepsilon(k) \quad \text{where } \varepsilon(k) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$
  - Substitute into error dynamics:  $z(k+1) = Az(k) + Bu(k) - B\psi^T w(k)$ 

$$= Az(k) + Bu(k) - B\psi_f^T w_f(k)$$
    - \* This suggests we choose  $u_{im}(k) = \hat{\psi}_f^T(k)\hat{w}_f(k)$  where  $\hat{\psi}_f$  is our parameter estimate, so we can cancel out the last term
- Now  $z(k+1) = Az(k) + Bu_s(k) + B\tilde{\psi}_f^T(k)\hat{w}_f(k) + \varepsilon(k)$ 

$$e(k) = Cz(k)$$
  - This is not quite the dynamic error model yet, due to the fact that  $A$  might not be Schur stable, and the additional  $Bu_s(k)$
  - However if  $A$  is stable, then we may set  $u_s(k) = 0$  and directly obtain the dynamic error model, and do the standard dynamic EM adaptation law with the regressor filtered through the  $z(k)$  system
- If  $A$  is unstable, we use an observer to estimate  $z(k)$  and use a state feedback  $u_s(k) = K\hat{z}_s(k)$ , where  $A + BK$  is Schur stable
  - Observer:  $\hat{z}_s(k+1) = A\hat{z}_s(k) + Bu_s(k) + L_s(e(k) - C\hat{z}_s(k))$
- We also need a new adaptation law for  $\hat{\psi}_f(k)$ , which can be derived using a swapping argument on the disturbance observer:

- $d_f(k) = H_d(z) [d(k)]$  where  $H_d(z) = C(zI - A_d)^{-1}B$ 
  - $= H_d(z) [\psi^T(k)w(k)]$
  - $= H_d(z) [\psi_f^T(k)w_f(k)]$
  - $= \psi_f^T(k)H_d(z)I [w_f(k)] + \varepsilon(k)$  swapping lemma
  - $= \psi_f^T(k)H_d(z)I [\hat{w}_f(k)] + \varepsilon(k)$
- Define  $\hat{d}_f(k) = \hat{\psi}_f^T(k)H_d(z)I [\hat{w}_f(k)]$ , which is measurable
- Let the augmented error  $e_a(k) = \hat{d}_f(k) - d_f(k) = \tilde{\psi}_f^T \hat{w}_a(k)$  where  $\hat{w}_a(k) = H_d(z)I [\hat{w}_f(k)]$ 
  - \* Recall that  $d_f(k) = C\hat{z}_d(k) - e(k)$ , so  $e_a(k)$  is also measurable
  - \* This is now in the form of a static error model!
- If  $A$  is Schur stable, we can still use this adaptation law, but in that case we can also directly use dynamic EM with  $z(k)$
- The final control design:  $\hat{z}_d(k+1) = A\hat{z}_d(k) + Bu(k) + L_d(e(k) - C\hat{z}_d(k))$ 
  - $d_f(k) = C\hat{z}_d(k) - e(k)$
  - $\hat{w}_f(k+1) = F\hat{w}_f(k) + Gd_f(k)$
  - $u(k) = u_s(k) + u_{im}(k)$
  - $u_{im}(k) = \hat{\psi}_f^T(k)\hat{w}_f(k)$
  - $\hat{\psi}_f(k+1) = \hat{\psi}_f(k) - \gamma(k)e_a(k)w_a(k)$
  - $\hat{w}_a(k) = H_d(z)I [\hat{w}_f(k)]$
  - $e_a(k) = \hat{\psi}_f^T(k)\hat{w}_a(k) - d_f(k)$
  - $\gamma(k) = \frac{\tilde{\gamma}}{1 + \|w_a(k)\|^2}, \tilde{\gamma} \in (0, 2)$
- Note,  $H_d(z) = C(zI - A_d)^{-1}B$  is the LTI system that takes  $d(k)$  to  $d_f(k)$
- If  $A$  is unstable:  $u_s(k) = K\hat{z}_s(k)$ 
  - $\hat{z}_s(k+1) = A\hat{z}_s(k) + Bu_s(k) + L_s(e(k) - C\hat{z}_s(k))$
- If  $A$  is stable:  $u_s(k) = 0$ 
  - \* In this case we can also choose to make  $\hat{w}_a(k), e_a(k)$  based on the dynamic error model with  $z(k)$