Lecture 15, Oct 24, 2025

Optical Flow and Motion Tracking

- Dense motion estimation or optical flow is the process of estimating a motion vector (velocity) for every possible pixel in the image from a series of images
 - This can be applied in video compression, e.g. MPEG, H.263/4
 - Often regularization is needed to fill in missing pixels
- We need to define a error metric, similar to feature matching, e.g. SAD, SSD
 - Often subpixel accuracy is important (since we are defining very small vectors), so we use interpolation
 - Then use a search technique to identify motion in a pair of images
- Applications of optical flow includes motion estimation, moving object tracking, UAVs, optical mice, etc
- The most challenging version of the problem is to compute motion at each pixel independently over time to obtain an optical flow field
 - We rely on the brightness constancy assumption: over a time Δt , there is another pixel in the next image that has the same brightness, i.e. $I(x, y, t) = I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t)$
 - * $\Delta x, \Delta y$ is the optical flow vector that we are looking for; the smaller the Δt , the closer this assumption is to reality
 - * Using a Taylor expansion, $I(x + \Delta x, y + \Delta y, t + \Delta t) \approx I(x, y, t) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \Delta x + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \Delta y + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \Delta t$
 - * Therefore $\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} \frac{\Delta x}{\Delta t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta t} + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta t} = 0$ The flow equation is $\frac{\partial I}{\partial x} v_x + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y} v_y + \frac{\partial I}{\partial t} = 0$ where v_x, v_y are the pixel velocities
 - In vector form, $I_x v_x + I y v_y = \Delta I^T v = -I_t$
 - * Physically we can interpret this as looking at the "flow of intensity" into and out of a pixel
- Due to the aperture problem, this is ill-posed, so we need some additional information in the neighbourhood to actually compute this
 - The Lucas-Kanade method assumes that a local patch has the same flow, so we compute the velocity for an entire patch
 - * This can be solved using least squares, by constructing a matrix equation for the entire patch,

*
$$\begin{bmatrix} I_x(\boldsymbol{p}_1) & I_y(\boldsymbol{p}_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ I_x(\boldsymbol{p}_N) & I_y(\boldsymbol{p}_N) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} I_t(\boldsymbol{p}_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_t(\boldsymbol{p}_N) \end{bmatrix} \iff \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{b}$$
*
$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_x & \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_y I_y \\ \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_y & \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_y I_y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_i I_t \\ \sum_{i=1}^{L_x} I_i I_t \end{bmatrix} \iff (\boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{A}) \boldsymbol{d} = \boldsymbol{A}^T \boldsymbol{b}$$

- The aperture problem can make motion seem as if it's in a different direction if we only look at a small part of the image (think the barber pole illusion)
- This means it's often a good idea to incorporate some global information
- The Horn-Schunck method imposes a smoothness constraint over the whole image

 - * Minimize $E = \iint [(I_x u + I_y v + I_t)^2 + \alpha^2 (\|\Delta u\|^2 + \|\Delta v\|^2)] dx dy$ $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} u(x,y) \\ v(x,y) \end{bmatrix}$ is the flow vector, as a function of pixel location
 - α is a regularization constant and $\Delta u, \Delta v$ are the flow vector gradients
 - Practically we can take its derivative and convert to a summation, and solve the problem using NLS
 - This would be a very large problem so we'd need to exploit the problem structure as with bundle adjustment
 - * This imposes a smoothness constraint, which means adjacent pixels influence each other; large changes in the flow vector at adjacent points is penalized
 - * Can help fill in homogeneous (featureless) regions, at the cost of blurring some boundaries

- Optical flow can give us information about the relative depth of objects, since objects further away move
 - This can be exploited for e.g. UAV navigation in urban canyons, where GPS is denied
 - Apparently bees use optical flows for navigation
- A simple navigation rule, if we have 2 cameras pointed towards 2 walls on either side, is to simply steer so that the optical flows on the two sides are equal, since if we're closer to a wall it'll have higher optical flow