

Lecture 4, Jan 19, 2024

Maximum Likelihood Estimation

- Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be our samples
- Given the distribution $p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$ that depends on θ , and suppose we don't know the distribution of θ (denoted by the semicolon)
 - This is known as the *likelihood function*
- The *maximum likelihood* estimate of θ maximizes the likelihood, $\hat{\Theta}_n = \underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)$
- Sometimes instead of likelihood directly we maximize its log instead
 - If X_1, \dots, X_n are IID, then $p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_{X_i}(x_i; \theta)$
 - Therefore $\log(p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(p_{X_i}(x_i; \theta))$
 - Instead of maximize the total likelihood we can maximize the sum of the logs of the marginals
- Example: Bernoulli RV, $p_X(0; \theta) = 1 - \theta, p_X(1; \theta) = \theta$
 - If we do this n times, then $p_{\mathbf{X}}(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta) = \theta^k (1 - \theta)^{n-k}$ where k is the number of 1s we got
 - The log likelihood is then $k \log \theta + (n - k) \log(1 - \theta)$
 - Differentiate wrt θ and set to zero: $\frac{d}{d\theta} \log p_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}; \theta) = \frac{k}{\theta} - \frac{n - k}{1 - \theta} = 0$
 - The MLE estimation is then $\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{k}{n}$
 - We say that k is a *sufficient statistic* for this ML estimator of θ ; instead of holding onto all the data we only need to keep track of k
 - We can take the expected value to see that this goes to θ , so the estimator is unbiased
 - Since this is the sample mean, weak law convergence applies, so the estimator is consistent

Laplace: Will the Sun Rise Tomorrow?

- Suppose the sun has risen n consecutive days, $X_1 = 1, \dots, X_n = 1$
- What is the probability that the sun will rise tomorrow?
- A frequentist approach would use the MLE estimate $\hat{\Theta}_n = \frac{n}{n} = 1$, so the sun surely rises and this estimate does not change as the number of days increases
- What about a Bayesian approach?
 - Suppose θ is a uniform random variable in the interval $[0, 1]$
 - Now we can find the posterior distribution of θ
 - $f_{\theta|\mathbf{X}_n}(\theta|x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{p_{\mathbf{X}_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta) f_{\theta}(\theta)}{p_{\mathbf{X}_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n)}$
 - $p_{\mathbf{X}_n}(x_1, \dots, x_n|\theta) = \theta^n$ if $x_1, \dots, x_n = 1$
 - So the probability of n consecutive 1s is $p_{\mathbf{X}}(1, \dots, 1) = \int_0^1 \theta^n f_{\theta}(\theta) d\theta = \int_0^1 \theta^n d\theta = \frac{1}{n+1}$
 - Therefore $P[X_{n+1} = 1|X_1 = 1, \dots, X_n = 1] = \frac{P[X_1 = 1, \dots, X_n = 1 \cap X_{n+1} = 1]}{P[X_1 = 1, \dots, X_n = 1]} = \frac{\frac{1}{n+2}}{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \frac{n+1}{n+2}$
- Another way is to use the conditional expectation $\hat{\Theta}_n(x) = E[\Theta|\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}]$

$$\begin{aligned}
- P[X_{n+1} = 1 | X_1 = 1, \dots, X_n = 1] &= E[\Theta | \mathbf{X}_n = \mathbf{1}] \\
&= \int_0^1 \theta f_{\theta | \mathbf{X}_n}(\theta | 1, \dots, 1) \, d\theta \\
&= \int_0^1 \theta \frac{\theta^n}{n+1} \, d\theta \\
&= \frac{n+1}{n+2}
\end{aligned}$$