Lecture 11, Oct 13, 2023

Equality-Constrained Optimization



Figure 1: Illustration of equality-constrained optimization.

Definition

A *feasible point* of a constrained optimization problem (minimize f(x) subject to g(x) = 0, $h(x) \ge 0$) is any point x satisfying the constraints g(x) = 0 and $h(x) \ge 0$.

The *feasible set* is the set of all feasible points.

Definition

A *critical point* of constrained optimization is a local maximum, minimum, or saddle point of f within the feasible set.

- Consider a simpler problem of minimizing $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to a single equality constraint $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$
 - The feasible set is $S_0 = \{ \boldsymbol{x} \mid g(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \}$
 - Consider taking a small step $\Delta \boldsymbol{x}$ from a feasible point; to stay on the level curve $g(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$, this step must be taken in a directional orthogonal to $\nabla g(\boldsymbol{x})$, i.e. $\nabla g(\boldsymbol{x})\Delta \boldsymbol{x} = 0$
 - Now consider taking a small step Δx from a minimum; then we must have $f(\mathbf{x}^* + \Delta \mathbf{x}) \ge f(\mathbf{x}^*)$, if the step is taken such that $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ is satisfied as above
 - * This means $\vec{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{x}^*) \Delta \boldsymbol{x} \ge 0$ by Taylor expansion
 - * But we also have $\vec{\nabla}g(\boldsymbol{x})(-\Delta \boldsymbol{x}) = 0$, so we know that $\vec{\nabla}f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)(-\Delta \boldsymbol{x}) \geq 0$, which means $\vec{\nabla}f(\boldsymbol{x}^*)\Delta \boldsymbol{x} = 0$ is the only way this can be true
- Since $\nabla f(x^*)\Delta x = 0 = \nabla g(x^*)\Delta x$ we have $\nabla f(x^*) = \lambda \nabla g(x^*)$ for some λ at a minimum (that is, the gradient of the function and the constraint are parallel)
 - $-\lambda$ is known as the Lagrange multiplier (nothing to do with eigenvalues)
- Let the Lagrangian be $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = f(\mathbf{x}) \lambda g(\mathbf{x})$, then the unconstrained stationary points of $\Lambda(\mathbf{x}, \lambda)$ with respect to both λ and \mathbf{x} are critical points of the optimization problem, since they satisfy:

$$- rac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = -g(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$

$$-\frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = \vec{\nabla} f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \lambda \vec{\nabla} g(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$$

- This allows us to convert a constrained optimization problem to an unconstrained one, so that any unconstrained solver we saw earlier can be used
- This can be extended to multiple equality constraints

Theorem

Method of Lagrange Multipliers: The critical points of the equality-constrained optimization problem of minimizing $f(\mathbf{x})$ subject to $g(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ are the unconstrained critical points of the Lagrangian:

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\lambda}} \Lambda(\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) = f(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{\lambda}^T \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x})$$

Note that the Lagrangian is minimized with respect to both x and λ .

- The Lagrange multiplier gives information about how the objective function changes if the constraints change by a small amount
- Example: maximizing a rectangle's area subject to a fixed perimeter of length 1
 - We wish to minimize $f(\mathbf{x}) = f(w, l) = -wl$ subject to the constraint that $g(\mathbf{x}) = g(w, l) = 2w + 2l 1 = 0$
 - Use the Lagrangian: $\Lambda(w, l, \lambda) = -wl \lambda(2w + 2l 1)$, which we can optimize without constraints $-\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = -l^* 2\lambda^* \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = -w^* 2\lambda^* \frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = 1 2w^* 2l^*$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial w} = -i - 2\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial l} = -w - 2\lambda, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = 1 - 2w - 2\lambda - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = 1 - 2w - \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} = 1 - 2w$$

- We get the solution $w^* = l^* = \frac{1}{4}, \lambda^* = -\frac{1}{8}$, which is a square as expected

- Example: minimize f(x) = x₁² + x₂² = x^Tx subject to g(x) = x₁ + x₂ 1 = [1 1] x 1 = a^Tx 1 = 0
 The level curves are circles centered at the origin, and the constraint is a line passing through (0,1) and (1,0)
 - $-\Lambda = f(\boldsymbol{x}) \lambda g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} \lambda (\boldsymbol{a}^T \boldsymbol{x} 1)$

 $-\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = ax - 1 = 0 = g(x) \text{ (we can always just take the constraint; no need to take this derivative)}$ $-\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial x} = 2x^T - \lambda a^T = 0 \implies x = \frac{\lambda}{2}a$

$$- \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{a} \frac{\lambda}{2} = 1 \implies 2\frac{\lambda}{2} = 1 \implies \lambda = 1, \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

• Example: $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & \sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & 2 \end{bmatrix}, g(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} - 1 = 0$

- The level curves are skewed ellipses around the origin; the constraint is a circle of radius 1
- $-\Lambda = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \lambda (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} 1)$
- $-\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} = 2\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{A} 2\lambda \boldsymbol{x}^T = 0 \implies \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{x} = \lambda \boldsymbol{x} \text{ which is an eigenvalue equation}$ * Substituting this back in: $\Lambda = \boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} \lambda \lambda (\boldsymbol{x}^T \boldsymbol{x} 1) = \lambda$
- The eigenvalues are $\lambda = 1, 4$, so we choose $\lambda = 1$ and its eigenvector normalized to unit length (for constraint q)
- Note that there are actually two solutions here (\pm on the unit eigenvector)