## Lecture 21, Nov 28, 2023

## **Manipulator Dynamics**

- For typical mobile robots, dynamics aren't all too important to their motion
- But for manipulator systems, we may need fast movements, making dynamics important
- We would like to derive the equations of motion for manipulators, using the Lagrangian formulation



Figure 1: Two-link manipulator.

• Consider the 2-link manipulator with coordinates  $\theta_1, \theta_2$ , both links with length l, masses  $m_1, m_2$ , and moments of mass a bout joints  $c_1, c_2, I_1, I_2$ , and centres of mass at midlink

$$-T_{1} = \frac{1}{2}m_{1}\left(\frac{1}{2}l\dot{\theta}_{1}\right)^{2} + \frac{1}{2}I_{\bullet,1}\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}\left(I_{\bullet,1} + \frac{1}{4}m_{1}l^{2}\right)\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2} = \frac{1}{2}I_{1}\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}$$
\* Note we started with the linetic energy relative to the cent

Note we started with the kinetic energy relative to the centre of mass, where we have both a translational and a rotational component

. 9

 $\ast\,$  This is equivalent to applying the parallel axis theorem

- The speed of link 2's centre of mass is 
$$v_{\mathbf{o},2}^2 = (l\dot{\theta}_1)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}l(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)\right)^2 + l^2\dot{\theta}_1(\dot{\theta}_1 + \dot{\theta}_2)\cos\theta_2$$

\* Note we can obtain this by the cosine law 
$$\sqrt{2}$$

$$- T_{2} = \frac{1}{2}m_{2}\left(\left(l\dot{\theta}_{1}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}l(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})\right)^{2} + l^{2}\dot{\theta}_{1}(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})\cos\theta_{2}\right) + \frac{1}{2}I_{\bullet,2}(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})^{2} \\ = \frac{1}{2}m_{2}(l\dot{\theta}_{1})^{2} + c_{2}(l\dot{\theta}_{1})(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})\cos\theta_{2} + \frac{1}{2}I_{2}(\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})^{2} \\ * c_{2} = \frac{1}{2}m_{2}l, I_{2} = I_{\bullet,2} + \frac{1}{4}m_{2}l^{2} \\ * c_{2} \text{ is the first moment of mass of link 2 about its joint} \\ - V_{1} = \frac{1}{2}m_{1}gl\sin\theta_{1}, V_{2} = m_{1}gl\left(\sin\theta_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\sin(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2})\right) \\ - \text{Virtual work done at joints: } \delta\widehat{W}_{2} = \tau_{1}\delta\theta_{1}, \delta\widehat{W}_{2} = \tau_{2}\delta\theta_{2} \\ - (I_{1} + I_{2} + m_{2}l^{2} + 2c_{2}l\cos\theta_{2})\ddot{\theta}_{1} + (I_{2} + c_{2}l\cos\theta_{2})\ddot{\theta}_{2} - c_{2}l(2\dot{\theta}_{1} + \dot{\theta}_{2})\dot{\theta}_{2}\sin\theta_{2} + \left(\frac{1}{2}m_{1} + m_{2}\right)gl\cos\theta_{1} + \frac{1}{2}m_{2}gl\cos(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}) = \tau_{1} \\ - (I_{2} + c_{2}l\cos\theta_{2})\ddot{\theta}_{1} + I_{2}\ddot{\theta}_{2} + c_{2}l\dot{\theta}_{1}^{2}\sin\theta_{2} + \frac{1}{2}m_{2}gl\cos(\theta_{1} + \theta_{2}) = \tau_{2} \\ - \text{We can cast this in a general form: } M(q)\ddot{q} + h(q,\dot{q}) - f^{g}(q) = u(t) \\ * q = \begin{bmatrix} \theta_{1} \\ \theta_{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ are the coordinates} \\ * u = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{1} \\ \tau_{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{ are the applied forces}$$

• In general, the kinetic energy of link *i* is  $T_i = \frac{1}{2}m_i \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{v}_i - \mathbf{v}_i^T \mathbf{c}_i^{\times} \boldsymbol{\omega}_i + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{\omega}_i^T \mathbf{I}_i \boldsymbol{\omega}_i$ 

- Note this uses  $O_i$  as a reference point - We may write this as  $T_i = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{v}_i^T \boldsymbol{M}_i \boldsymbol{v}_i$ 

- We may write this as 
$$T_i = \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{v}_i^T \boldsymbol{I}$$
  
-  $\boldsymbol{v}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_i \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_i \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{M}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{m}_i \mathbf{1} & -\boldsymbol{c}_i^{\times} \\ \boldsymbol{c}_i^{\times} & \boldsymbol{I}_i \end{bmatrix}$   
- Note  $\boldsymbol{M}_i = \int \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \boldsymbol{s}^{\times} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \boldsymbol{s}^{\times} \end{bmatrix}^T \mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{m}$ 

- These are the generalized velocity and mass matrices

- We can build a Jacobian for link i like the end-effector:  $\boldsymbol{v}_i = \boldsymbol{J}_1(q_1,\ldots,q_i) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ 
  - Note that this is a function of only the coordinates up to  $q_i$ , since we have a serial manipulator
  - Therefore columns of  $\boldsymbol{J}_i$  after column I are zero
  - Also, this Jacobian is expressed in the link frame, instead of the world frame
    - \* i.e.  $J_i = \begin{bmatrix} C_{i,0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & C_{i,0} \end{bmatrix} J'_i$ , where the latter is in the world frame
  - Note for a prismatic joint,  $M_i$  is dependent on  $d_i$  (since the reference point  $O_i$  changes with  $d_i$ ) \* With the moving reference point, s changes with  $d_i$ 
    - \* Suppose we pick some reference point  $O'_i$  fixed to the link, with position r relative to  $O_i$ , then  $s = r + d_i \mathbf{1}_3$

\* 
$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{s}^{\times} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ d_i \mathbf{1}_3^{\times} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{r}^{\times} \end{bmatrix} = D \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{r}_{\times} \end{bmatrix}$$

- \* Therefore  $M_i = DM_{i,O'_i}D^T$ , where  $M_{i,O'_i}$  is independent of  $d_i$ , but D is
- \* This doesn't really matter in the end since we need to add up all the kinetic energies in the end

• 
$$T_i = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^T \boldsymbol{J}_i^T(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{M}_i \boldsymbol{J}_i(\boldsymbol{q}) \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$$

- For the whole manipulator,  $T = \sum_{i=1}^{n} T_i = \frac{1}{2} \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^T \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{J}_i^T(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{M}_i \boldsymbol{J}_i(\boldsymbol{q}) \right] \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ 

\* We can define the middle part as the mass matrix for the whole arm

$$egin{aligned} & m{M}(m{q}) = \sum_{i=1}^n m{J}_i^T(m{q}) m{M}_i m{J}_i(m{q}) \ & = rac{1}{ au} m{\dot{q}}^T m{M}(m{q}) m{\dot{q}} \end{aligned}$$

 $-T = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^T \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q})\dot{\boldsymbol{q}}$ \* Note that this  $\boldsymbol{M}$  is symmetric and positive definite, since if any joint is moving, we will have some amount of kinetic energy

- For gravitational potential energy, the centre of mass of link *i* is  $r_0^{i,\bullet} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_0^{i,\bullet} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{0,i} \\ \rho_0^i \\ 0^T \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = T_{0,i}r_i^{i,\bullet}$ 
  - The height is then  $h^{i,\bullet} = \mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{r}_0^{i,\bullet} = \mathbf{k}^T \mathbf{T}_{0,i} \mathbf{r}_i^{i,\bullet}$ -  $\mathbf{k} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1}_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

• Therefore  $V_i = m_i g \mathbf{k}^T T_{0,i} \mathbf{r}_i^{i, \mathbf{o}}$  so  $\mathbf{V} = \sum_{i=1}^n V_i = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i g \mathbf{k}^T T_{0,i} \mathbf{r}_i^{i, \mathbf{o}}$ 

- The virtual work done is  $\delta \widehat{W}_i^{\text{con}} = u_i \delta q_i$  so  $\delta \widehat{W}^{\text{con}} = \sum_i u_i \delta q_i = \delta \boldsymbol{q}^T \boldsymbol{u}$ 

  - If we have friction,  $\delta \widehat{W}_i^f = f_i(q_i, \dot{q}_i)\delta q_i$  Then  $\Delta \widehat{W}^f = \sum_i f_i \delta q_i = \delta \boldsymbol{q}^T \boldsymbol{f}^f(\boldsymbol{q}, \dot{\boldsymbol{q}})$

– If we have forces at the end-effector, we also have  $\widehat{\delta W}^{ee} = \delta q^T J^T(q) f^{ee}$ 

- The total non-conservative virtual work is then δW = δq<sup>T</sup>(u + f<sup>f</sup> + J<sup>T</sup>(q)f<sup>ee</sup>)
  The resulting equation of motion is M(q) \u03c4 + h(q, \u03c4) f<sup>f</sup>(q, \u03c4) f<sup>g</sup>(q) J<sup>T</sup>(q)f<sup>ee</sup> = u(t) f<sup>f</sup> are the frictional forces, f<sup>g</sup> are the gravitational forces and f<sup>ee</sup> are the forces at the end-effector  $- \mathbf{h}(\mathbf{q}, \dot{\mathbf{q}})$  is a nonlinear inertial term

$$-h_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \dot{M}_{kj} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial M_{ij}}{\partial q_k} \dot{q}_i \right) \dot{q}_j$$

- Like kinematics, we have 2 problems: inverse dynamics (given a trajectory  $q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q}$ , solve for u(t)), and forward or simulation dynamics (given control forces u(t), solve for the motion q)
  - Inverse dynamics is much easier than forward dynamics
  - The problem is even more complex if the manipulator links are elastic, which is an issue for space manipulators especially
  - Flexibility/compliance at the joints also complicates the problem