

## Lecture 18, Nov 15, 2023

### Applications of DFS – Cycle Detection

#### Theorem

*White-Path-Theorem* (WPT): For all graphs  $G$  and all DFS of  $G$ ,  $v$  becomes a descendant of  $u$  if and only if at the time  $d[u]$  the DFS discovers  $u$ , there exists a path from  $u$  to  $v$  that consists of entirely white nodes.

- Proof of WPT: consider any  $G$  and any DFS of  $G$ 
  - Suppose  $v$  is a descendant of  $u$ :
    - \* Let the discovery path from  $u$  to  $v$  be  $u \rightarrow u_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow u_k \rightarrow v$
    - \* At the time  $d[u]$  when  $u$  is discovered, all the other nodes on the path have not yet been discovered
    - \* Therefore all the nodes in the discovery path are white, so there exists a white path from  $u$  to  $v$
  - Suppose at time  $d[u]$  when  $u$  is discovered, there exists a white path from  $u$  to  $v$ 
    - \* Claim: all nodes in this path, including  $v$ , will become descendants of  $u$
    - \* Suppose there is at least one node along this path that does not become a descendant of  $u$ ; let  $z$  be the closest node to  $u$  in the path that does not become a descendant of  $u$
    - \* Let  $w$  be the node before  $z$  in this graph; since  $w$  is closer to  $u$  than  $z$ , it must be either  $u$  or a descendant of  $u$
    - \* We know  $d[u] < d[z]$  because  $z$  is white when  $u$  was discovered
    - \* We know  $d[z] < f[w]$  because there is an edge from  $w$  to  $z$
    - \* We know  $f[w] \leq f[u]$  because  $w$  is either a descendant of  $u$ , or  $u$  itself
    - \* Therefore  $d[u] < d[z] < f[w] \leq f[u]$ ; because  $z$  was discovered between the discovery and exploration of  $u$ , so  $d[u] < d[z] < f[z] < f[u]$ , but this means  $z$  is a descendant of  $u$
    - \* This leads to a contradiction, so all nodes in the path will become descendants of  $u$
- Now that we have proven WPT we can use it for cycle detection

#### Theorem

A directed graph  $G$  has a cycle if and only if any/every DFS of  $G$  has a back edge.

- Proof: consider any directed  $G$  and any DFS of  $G$ 
  - Suppose the DFS of  $G$  has a back edge  $(v, u)$ 
    - \*  $v$  is a descendant of  $u$  in the DFS, so there is a discovery path  $u$  to  $v$
    - \* Hence we have a cycle by going from  $u$  to  $v$  via the discovery path, and then back to  $u$  via the back edge
  - Suppose  $G$  has a cycle  $C$ 
    - \* Let  $u$  be the first node in  $C$  that the DFS discovers
    - \* Let  $v$  be the node right before  $u$  in  $C$
    - \* At time  $d[u]$  when the DFS discovers  $u$ , all nodes in the path from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $C$  are still white, including  $v$  (since  $u$  is the first node in the entire cycle that is discovered)
    - \* By WPT,  $v$  eventually becomes a descendant of  $u$  in the DFS
    - \* When  $v$  becomes a descendant of  $u$ , we explore it, it has an edge to  $u$ ; this is a back edge, since  $v$  is a descendant of  $u$
- After we do a DFS, how do we figure out whether an edge  $(u, v)$  is a back edge?
  - When we go down the edge, we can check that both  $u$  and  $v$  are grey
  - If we're already done the DFS, then we can check whether  $d[v] < d[u] < f[u] < f[v]$