Lecture 7, Sep 28, 2023

Newton's Second Law in Noninertial Frames

- Kinematics was the study of the geometry of motion without regard for the laws of nature; now we move on to dynamics, where we attempt to describe the laws of nature
- We know that the law of inertia does not hold in an accelerating or rotating reference frame; what about Newton's second law?
- $\vec{f} = m\vec{a} = m\vec{r}$, with the derivative taken with respect to some inertial frame \mathcal{F}_I
 - In another frame \mathcal{F}_b , $\underline{f} = mv$.

$$= m(\vec{v}^{\circ} + \vec{\omega}^{bI} \times \vec{v})$$

= $m\vec{r}^{\circ\circ}$
= $m(\vec{r}^{\circ\circ} + 2\vec{\omega}^{bI} \times \vec{r}^{\circ} + \vec{\omega}^{bI^{\circ}} \times \vec{r} + \vec{\omega}^{bI} \times (\vec{\omega}^{bI} \times \vec{r}))$

- So in \mathcal{F}_{b} , $m\underline{r}^{\circ\circ} = \underline{f} m\left(2\underline{\omega}^{bI} \times \underline{r}^{\circ} \underline{\omega}^{bI^{\circ}} \times \underline{r} + \underline{\omega}^{bI} \times (\underline{\omega}^{bI} \times \underline{r})\right)$ We can see this broken down into the Coriolis, tangential, and centrifugal forces



Figure 1: Diagram for the example problem.

- Example: Consider a spool with a bob attached to the end of the wire; if the spool is rotating in the opposite direction that the wire is being wound, the spool will actually unwind
 - Given that Ω is constant, what is $\rho(t)$ and $f_T(t)$?
 - Define our reference frames as \mathcal{F}_I , the inertial frame, and \mathcal{F}_b , a rotation reference frame with \underline{b}_I parallel to the string at all times

- This gives
$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{\mathcal{F}}_{b}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} \rho \\ a \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\mathcal{F}}_{b}^{T} \mathbf{r}_{b}$$
 and $\mathbf{f}_{T} = \mathbf{\mathcal{F}}_{b}^{T} \begin{bmatrix} -f_{T} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{\mathcal{F}}_{b}^{T} \mathbf{f}_{b}$

- Since \mathcal{F}_b is not an inertial frame, we must use the equation of motion for a rotating frame that we derived above

$$-f_T = m(\vec{r}^{\circ\circ} + 2\vec{\omega}^{bI} \times \vec{r}^{\circ} + \vec{\omega}^{bI^{\circ}} \times \vec{r} + \vec{\omega}^{bI} \times (\vec{\omega}^{bI} \times \vec{r}))$$

- $\vec{I}t$ is most convenient to express all quantities in frame \mathcal{F}_b : * $bI \quad \mathbf{T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

•
$$\dot{\theta} = \Omega + \frac{\dot{\rho}}{a}$$

* $\dot{r}_b = \begin{bmatrix} \dot{\rho} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ddot{r}_b = \begin{bmatrix} \ddot{\rho} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$

- If we substitute these quantities back in, we get $\begin{cases} -m\ddot{\rho} - m\rho\omega^2 = f_T \\ \rho\dot{\omega} + 2\dot{\rho}\omega - a\omega^2 = 0 \end{cases}$ where $\omega = \Omega + \frac{\dot{\rho}}{a}$ * Solving the DE in the second equation, we get $\rho(t) = a\Omega t$ * Substitute back in to get $f_T = 4ma\Omega^3 t$ - The idealized math save that the graph of the second equation

- The idealized math says that the spool will keep unwinding, however in reality drag will eventually match the centrifugal force, causing the spool to no longer unwind