

Lecture 14, Oct 24, 2023

Virtual Work and D'Alembert's Principle

- Consider a grammar of particles in static equilibrium; we will have that $\vec{f}_i = \vec{0}$; then for any small displacement $\delta\vec{r}_i = 0$, so $\sum_i \vec{f}_i \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = 0$
 - We define this quantity as the *virtual work* $\delta\widehat{W}$ (note the ligature since work is not path-independent)
 - We call $\delta\vec{r}_i$ a *virtual displacement*
- In general we can write $\vec{f}_i = \vec{f}_{i,app} + \vec{f}_{i,\square}$, where $\vec{f}_{i,app}$ is an applied force and $\vec{f}_{i,\square}$ is a constraint force
 - Then $\delta\widehat{W} = \sum_i \vec{f}_{i,app} \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i + \sum_i \vec{f}_{i,\square} \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = \sum_i \vec{f}_{i,app} \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i$ because constraint forces do no work, provided that the $\delta\vec{r}_i$ are consistent with the geometry of the system
 - * This is the *principle of virtual work* and is an assumption that we make
- What about particles in dynamic equilibrium?
 - $\vec{f}_i = m\vec{r}_i''$
 - So we just need to consider $\vec{f}_i - m_i\vec{r}_i''$ as the total force – according to d'Alembert's principle
 - $\delta\widehat{W} = \sum_i (\vec{f}_{i,app} - m_i\vec{r}_i'') \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = 0$ by the same reasoning and assumptions above, as long as $\delta\vec{r}_i$ is consistent with the system's constraints
 - * This is known as *d'Alembert's principle* (again)
 - Note that since we no longer have to consider constraint forces we will drop the subscript

Lagrangian Mechanics

- Consider an independent set of coordinates q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n where n is the number of degrees of freedom
 - The coordinates must be complete, i.e. satisfy $\vec{r}_i = \vec{r}_i(q_{11}, q_{12}, \dots, q_n, t)$; the position of any particle must be expressible in terms of the generalized coordinates
 - These coordinates are called *generalized coordinates*, because they do not have to be Cartesian; instead they can be displacements or angles etc
 - We aim to obtain equations of motions in these generalized coordinates only
- Any permissible virtual displacement can then be given in terms of these coordinates: $\delta\vec{r}_i = \sum_k \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_k} \delta q_k$
 - in this form it is clear that the virtual displacements are permissible
 - Note that even though \vec{r}_i can be dependent on time, the virtual displacements $\delta\vec{r}_i$ are “frozen” in time; this is why we don't need to consider $\frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial t}$
- $\sum_i \vec{f}_i \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i - \sum_i m_i \vec{r}_i'' \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = 0$
 - $\sum_i \vec{f}_i \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = \sum_i \sum_k \vec{f}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_k} \delta q_k = \sum_k Q_k \delta q_k$ where $Q_k = \sum_i \vec{f}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_k}$
 - * Q_k are referred to as the *generalized forces*
 - $\sum_i m_i \vec{r}_i'' \cdot \delta\vec{r}_i = \sum_i \sum_j m_i \vec{r}_i'' \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} \delta q_j = \sum_i \sum_j \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(m_i \vec{r}_i' \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} \right) - m_i \vec{r}_i' \cdot \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_j} \right) \right] \delta q_j$
 - Note $\frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_k} = \frac{\partial \vec{v}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k}$ and $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \vec{r}_i}{\partial q_k} \right) = \frac{\partial \vec{v}_i}{\partial q_k}$ so the stuff in brackets becomes $\frac{d}{dt} \left(m_i \vec{v}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}_i}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) - m_i \vec{v}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{v}_i}{\partial q_k} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_i v_i^2 \right) \right] - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \left(\frac{1}{2} m_i v_i \cdot v_i \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_k} T_i \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} T_i$
 - Together we have $\sum_k Q_k \delta q_k - \sum_k \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \left(\sum_i T_i \right) \right) - \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} \left(\sum_i T_i \right) \right] \delta q_k = 0$
 - $\sum_k \left[Q_k - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k} \right] \delta q_k = 0$

- Since δq_k are all independent, we can choose each one independently and arbitrarily; therefore we need $Q_k \delta q_k - \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k} = 0$ for all k
- $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k} = Q_k$
 - $Q_k = \sum_i \underline{f}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{r}_i}{\partial q_k}$
 - Split the forces into conservative and non-conservative parts $\underline{f}_i = -\vec{\nabla} V_i + \underline{f}_{i,\Delta}$
 - Then $Q_k = - \sum_i \vec{\nabla} V_i \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{r}_i}{\partial q_k} + \sum_i \underline{f}_{i,\Delta} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{r}_i}{\partial q_k} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_k} + Q_{k,\Delta}$
 - * $Q_{k,\Delta}$ are the generalized non-conservative forces
 - Plugging this back in we get $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial T}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) + \frac{\partial T}{\partial q_k} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_k} + Q_{k,\Delta}$
 - * Assuming V is a function of position only, $\frac{\partial V}{\partial \dot{q}_k} = 0$, so we have $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_k} (T - V) \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k} (T - V) = Q_{k,\Delta}$
 - Letting $L = T - V$, we get $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} = Q_{k,\Delta}$
- $\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_k} \right) - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_k} = Q_{k,\Delta}$ is *Lagrange's equation* (aka the Euler-Lagrange equation)
 - $Q_{k,\Delta} = \sum_i \underline{f}_{i,\Delta} \cdot \frac{\partial \underline{r}_i}{\partial q_k}$ is the generalized non-conservative force
 - $L = T - V$ is the *Lagrangian*, the difference between the kinetic and potential energies (summed across all particles)
 - * Note that when we take the partials with respect to \dot{q}_k and q_k , we treat these two as independent
 - For a system with n degrees of freedom, there are n Lagrange's equations
 - If we have no non-conservative forces, then the equation equals zero
 - Lagrange's equation is equivalent to Newton's laws - we can replace $\underline{f} = m\underline{r}''$ by this formulation to yield identical results
- Note that since we started with Newton's second law, this can only be applied in an inertial frame
 - There is a way around this by considering the potential to be velocity-dependent
- Example: pendulum with length l , mass m and angle θ
 - $q_1 = \theta$
 - $T = \frac{1}{2} m (l\dot{\theta})^2 = \frac{1}{2} ml^2 \dot{\theta}^2$
 - $V = -mg \cos \theta$ (gravity, with the pivot as reference height)
 - * Note it doesn't matter what we take as the reference here because we always take the partial derivative of the Lagrangian, so constant factors in energy disappear as expected
 - Assume that there are no non-conservative forces
 - $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} = ml^2 \dot{\theta} \implies \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \right) = ml^2 \ddot{\theta}$
 - $\frac{\partial L}{\partial \theta} = -mgl \sin \theta$
 - Plugging in, we get $ml^2 \ddot{\theta} - (-mgl \sin \theta) = 0 \implies \ddot{\theta} + \frac{g}{l} \sin \theta = 0$, exactly what we get with Newtonian mechanics