Lecture 10, Oct 10, 2023

D'Alembert's Principle

- Consider an inertially fixed point $O_{\mathfrak{I}}$, an inertially moving point O and a grammar of particles \mathcal{P}_i , with positions r_i relative to $O_{\mathfrak{I}}$ and ρ_i relative to O
- How can we accommodate Newton's second law in a noninertial frame?
- $\underline{r}_i = \underline{r}^{O\mathfrak{I}} + \rho_i$
- The equations of motion are $m_i \underline{r}_i^{\cdot \cdot} = \underline{f}_{i,ext} + \sum_i \underline{f}_i^j = m_i (\underline{\rho}_i^{\cdot \cdot} + \underline{r}^{O\mathfrak{I}^{\cdot \cdot}})$

- Let
$$\underline{a}_O = \underline{r}^{O\mathfrak{I}^{\prime\prime}}$$
 which is the acceleration of O with respect to $O_{\mathfrak{I}}$

$$- m_i \vec{\rho}_i = \vec{f}_{i,ext} + \sum_i \vec{f}_i^j - m_i \vec{a}_O$$

- Therefore $m\rho_{o}^{\cdot \cdot} = f m \vec{a}_{O}$
- Let $\underline{\pi}_i = m_i \dot{\rho_i}$ be the momentum as seen in O
- $-\pi = m\rho_{o}$

- Therefore
$$\pi = f - ma_0$$

- Notice that the rate of change momentum as observed in O is the total force, plus a reversed inertial force
- For the angular momentum: $\eta_i = \rho_i \times \underline{\pi}_i$

- The total momentum is then
$$\vec{\eta}_0 = \sum_i m_i \vec{\rho}_i \times \vec{\rho}_i$$

- $\vec{\eta}_0 = \sum_i \vec{\rho}_i \times (m_i \vec{\rho}_i) = \sum_i \vec{\rho}_i \times \left(\vec{f}_{i,ext} + \sum_j \vec{f}_i^j - m_i \vec{a}_O \right)$
- $\vec{\eta}_0 = \vec{\tau}_O - \left(\sum_i m_i \vec{\rho}_i \right) \times \vec{a}_O = \vec{\tau}_O - m\vec{\rho}_{\bullet} \times \vec{a}_O = \vec{\tau}_O + \vec{\rho}_{\bullet} \times (-m\vec{a}_O)$

Definition

D'Alembert's principle: The classical laws of mechanics can be applied in a linearly accelerating frame if reversed inertial forces are applied to the center of mass of a grammar of particles.

The Rocket Problem

• Consider a rocket with mass m moving at velocity \underline{v} ; if f = p, then do we have $f = \dot{m}\underline{v} + m\underline{v}$, since the mass is changing for the rocket?

- The problem is that we failed to consider the part of the mass that was ejected

• At time t, the rocket has mass m and velocity v; at time t + dt, the rocket has mass m + dm and velocity $\underline{v} + d\underline{v}$, where -dm is the amount of mass that was ejected at a velocity $\underline{v} + \underline{v}_{ex}$

•
$$\vec{f} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\vec{p}}{\mathrm{d}t} \Longrightarrow \mathrm{d}\vec{p} = \vec{f} \,\mathrm{d}t = \vec{p}(t + \mathrm{d}t) - \vec{p}(t)$$

- $p(t) = m \underline{v}$
- $-\vec{p}(t+dt) = (m+dm)(\vec{v}+d\vec{v}) + (-dm)(\vec{v}+\vec{v}_{ex})$
- Under a first order approximation, this reduces to $d\underline{p} = md\underline{v} \underline{v}_{ex}dm = \underline{f} dt$
- Therefore $m\frac{\mathrm{d}\underline{v}}{\mathrm{d}t} \underline{v}_{ex}\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = \underline{f} \implies m\underline{v} = \underline{f} + \underline{m}\underline{v}_{ex}$ Comparing this result to what we have before, the difference is that instead of $-\underline{v}_{ex}$, in our first incorrect result we had v
- Example: consider an open-top railway car with mass m_0 and velocity v_0 , which is collecting rain at a rate \dot{m} ; what is the velocity of the car after a given time, where an amount of rain m has fallen?
 - Suppose there is an amount of water m in the car at time t, then $p(t) = (m_0 + m)v$ and $p(t + dt) = (m_0 + m + dm)(v + dv)$

- Since the rain is falling vertically, $p(t) = p(t + dt) \implies (m_0 + m)v = (m_0 + m + dm)(v + dv)$ $v dm + (m_0 + m)dv = 0 \implies \frac{dv}{v} = -\frac{dm}{m_0 + m}$ with initial conditions $m = 0, v = v_0$ at t = 0- Integrating both sides: $\ln \frac{v}{v_0} = -\ln \frac{m_0 + m}{m_0} \implies v = v_0 \frac{m}{m_0 + m} \implies (m_0 + m)v = m_0v_0$ Example: if the car is dripping so that the water level stays the same, what is the velocity now?

 - $p(t) = m_0 v$
 - $-p(t+dt) = m_0(v+dv) + (v+dv)dm$ where -dm is the amount of water falling out
 - $\operatorname{Again} p(t + dt) p(t) = 0 \implies m_0 \, dv + v \, dm = 0 \implies \frac{dv}{v} = -\frac{dm}{m_0} \implies \ln \frac{v}{v_0} = -\frac{m}{m_0} \implies v = -\frac{m}{m_0$ $v_0 e^{-\frac{m}{m_0}}$