

Lecture 28, Mar 25, 2022

Properties of Eigenvalues and Eigenvectors

- If $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{bmatrix}$, the eigenvalues satisfy $\lambda^2 - (a_{11} + a_{22})\lambda + (a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) = \lambda^2 - (\text{tr } \mathbf{A})\lambda + \det \mathbf{A} = 0$
- In general, for $\mathbf{A} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$, $\lambda^n - (\text{tr } \mathbf{A})\lambda^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n \det \mathbf{A} = 0$
- Proposition I: Let λ, μ be two distinct eigenvalues for $\mathbf{A} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$, then $\mathcal{E}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{E}_\mu = \{\mathbf{0}\}$
 - Proof: Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda \cap \mathcal{E}_\mu$, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mu\mathbf{x} \implies \lambda\mathbf{x} = \mu\mathbf{x} \implies (\lambda - \mu)\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$, but $\lambda \neq \mu$ so $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$
- Note eigenvalues may be complex

Diagonalizability

- We have
$$\begin{cases} \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_1 = \lambda_1\mathbf{p}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{A}\mathbf{p}_n = \lambda_n\mathbf{p}_n \end{cases} \implies \mathbf{A} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{p}_1 & \cdots & \mathbf{p}_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}$$
 - This can be written as $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}$
 - Suppose $\mathbf{p}_1, \dots, \mathbf{p}_n$ are linearly independent, then \mathbf{P} is invertible, then $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$
 - * This is called *diagonalization* since $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is a diagonal matrix
- If we had $\dot{\boldsymbol{\eta}} = \mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\eta} \implies \{\dot{\eta}_i = \lambda_i\eta_i\}$, which is a system of decoupled differential equations
- Definition: $\mathbf{P} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$ *diagonalizes* $\mathbf{A} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$ if \mathbf{P} is invertible and $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$
 - However, it's not always possible to find a set of \mathbf{p}_n such that \mathbf{P} is invertible, i.e. not all matrices are diagonalizable
- Theorem I: Diagonalization Theorem: The matrix $\mathbf{P} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$ diagonalizes $\mathbf{A} \in {}^n\mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e. $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Lambda}$) iff \mathbf{P} the columns of \mathbf{P} are eigenvectors of \mathbf{A} that form a basis for ${}^n\mathbb{R}$
- Note that $\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{\Lambda} \implies \mathbf{A} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{P}^{-1}$