Lecture 34, Apr 5, 2022

Lagrange Multipliers

- In general the goal is to maximize or minimize f(x, y) subject to a constraint of g(x, y) = k
 - Geometrically, picture the level curves of f(x, y) along with the curve g(x, y) = k
 - A solution must lie on both q(x,y) = k and one of the level curves of f(x,y); the goal is to find the largest c such that f(x, y) = c intersects g(x, y) = k
 - This happens when the two curves just touch each other at a single point, i.e. they're tangent to each other
 - * Note if they crossed, there would always be a way to choose a larger or smaller c such that they touch at a single point
- Since the curves are tangent, they share the same tangent and thus $\nabla g \parallel \nabla f$ or $\nabla f = \lambda \nabla g$; λ is the Lagrange multiplier
- In the 2D case, we need to solve $\begin{cases} g(x_0, y_0) = k \\ f_x(x_0, y_0) = \lambda g_x(x_0, y_0) \\ f_y(x_0, y_0) = \lambda g_y(x_0, y_0) \end{cases}$
 - Together we have 3 equations and 3 unknowns x_0, y_0, λ , so we can solve the system
 - Often we don't care about finding λ , only x_0, y_0
- In 3D, the surfaces are tangent and share the same tangent plane so again the gradients are parallel $\int a(\vec{x}_0) - k$

- In general
$$\begin{cases} g(x_0) = \kappa \\ \nabla f(\vec{x}_0) = \lambda \nabla g(\vec{x}_0) \end{cases}$$

- Example: $f(x,y) = x^2 y^2$ on the circle $x^2 + y^2 = 1$
 - $-\nabla f = (2x, -2y), \nabla g = (2x, 2y)$
 - $-\begin{cases} x_0^2 + y_0^2 = 1\\ 2x_0 = \lambda 2x_0\\ -2y_0 = \lambda 2y_0 \end{cases}$

 - From the second equation, either $x_0 = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$; from the third equation either $y_0 = 0$ or $\lambda = -1$ - Cases:
 - 1. $\lambda = 1, y_0 = 0 \implies x_0 = \pm 1$
 - 2. $\lambda = -1, x_0 = 0 \implies y_0 = \pm 1$
- Note Lagrange's method doesn't tell us whether we have a max or min, but it does give us all the max/min
- Example: Maximize f(x, y, z) = xyz subject to $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 1, x, y, z \ge 0$

$$-\begin{cases} x^{3} + y^{3} + z^{3} = 1\\ yz = \lambda 3x^{2}\\ xz = \lambda 3y^{2}\\ zy = \lambda 3z^{2} \end{cases} \Longrightarrow \begin{cases} xyz = \lambda 3x^{2}\\ xyz = \lambda 3y^{2}\\ xyz = \lambda 3z^{2} \end{cases}$$
$$-\lambda x^{3} = \lambda y^{3} = \lambda z^{3}$$
$$- \text{ Eliminate } \lambda = 0 \text{ possibility because if } \lambda = 1 \end{cases}$$

- 0, x = y = z = 0 which would be a minimum $-x^3 = y^3 = z^3 \implies x = y = z$
- Plugging this back into our constraint we get $x = y = z = \sqrt[3]{\frac{1}{3}} \implies f(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3}$
- Problems of this type are easy to set up, but solving the system of equations is complicated

Two Constraints Problem

- Maximize or minimize f(x, y, z) subject to g(x, y, z) = k and h(x, y, z) = c
 - Geometrically we're trying to maximize or minimize f on the intersection between g and h
 - The 3D surfaces g(x, y, z) = k and h(x, y, z) = c intersect at a curve
- Note that since the gradient is normal to a level surface, \vec{T} for the intersection curve is normal to both ∇h and ∇q

– Therefore $\vec{T} = \nabla h \times \nabla g$

- By the same logic as before, \vec{T} must be in the tangent plane of f at the max/min, so ∇f is perpendicular to \vec{T}
 - Since $\nabla f \perp \vec{T}$, it must be in the plane defined by ∇g and ∇h since that plane is also perpendicular to \vec{T}
 - $-\nabla f(\vec{x}_0) = \lambda \nabla g(\vec{x}_0) + \mu \nabla h(\vec{x}_0)$ is our new equation
- The problem is now reduced to a set of 5 equations
- Example: f(x, y, z) = xy + 2z on a circle of intersection between the plane x + y + z = 0 and the sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 24$

$$- \nabla f = (y, x, 2), \nabla g = (1, 1, 1), \nabla h = (2x, 2y, 2z) \begin{cases} x + y + z = 0 \\ x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 24 \\ y = \lambda + \mu \cdot 2x \\ x = \lambda + \mu \cdot 2y \\ 2 = \lambda + \mu \cdot 2z \\ \hline (x - y) = 2\mu(y - x) \implies (x - y)(1 + 2\mu) = 0 \implies y = x \text{ or } \mu = -\frac{1}{2} \\ \hline \text{Cases:} \\ 1. \ x = y \implies 2x + z = 0 \implies z = -2x \implies x^2 + x^2 + (-2x)^2 = 24 \implies 6x^2 = 24 \implies x = \pm 2, y = \pm 2, z = \mp 4 \\ & \text{This produces the points } f(2, 2, -4) = -4 \text{ and } f(-2, -2, 4) = 12 \\ 2. \ \mu = -\frac{1}{2} \implies \begin{cases} x = \lambda - y \\ 2 = \lambda - z \end{cases} \implies x + y = 2 + z \implies 2 + z + z = 0 \implies z = -1, x + y = 1 \implies \\ \begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 = 24 - z^2 = 23 \\ (x + y)^2 = x^2 + y^2 + 2xy = 1^2 = 1 \end{cases} \implies xy = -11 \implies y = 1 - x \implies x(1 - x) = -11 \implies x^2 - x - 11 = 0 \implies x = \frac{1 \pm 3\sqrt{5}}{2}, y = \frac{1 - 3\sqrt{5}}{2} \\ & \text{This produces the points } f\left(\frac{1 + 3\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1 - 3\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1\right) = -13 \text{ and } f\left(\frac{1 - 3\sqrt{5}}{2}, \frac{1 + 3\sqrt{5}}{2}, 1\right) = -13 \end{cases}$$

Reconstructing a Function from its Gradient

- If we have ∇f , how do we obtain f?
- Method 1: Integrate one of the partial derivatives, creating a "constant of integration" that's a function • of the other variables; take the partial derivative with respect to the other variables, and compare against the gradient to solve for the constants of integration
- Example: $\nabla f = (1 + y^2 + xy^2)\hat{i} + (x^2y + y + 2xy + 1)\hat{j}$ $-\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1 + y^2 + xy^2 \implies f = x + xy^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2y^2 + \phi(y)$ * Note the constant of integration here is a "constant" with respect to x only, meaning it could
 - be any function of y ∂f

- Now differentiate:
$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial y} = 2xy + x^2y + \phi'(y) = x^2y + y + 2xy + 1 \implies \phi'(y) = y + 1 \implies \phi(y) = \frac{1}{-u^2} + y + C$$

- Therefore $f(x, y) = x + xy^2 + \frac{1}{2}x^2y + \frac{1}{2}y^2 + y + C$ • Method 2: Integrate all partial derivatives, and match the terms to get the final expression

- Example: $\nabla f = (\cos x y \sin x)\hat{i} + (\cos x + z^2)\hat{j} + (2yz)\hat{k}$
 - $\begin{aligned} -f_x &= \cos x y \sin x \implies f = \sin x + y \cos x + \phi_1(y,z) \\ -f_y &= \cos x + z^2 \implies f = y \cos x + yz^2 + \phi_2(x,z) \\ -f_z &= 2yz \implies f = yz^2 + \phi_3(x,y) \end{aligned}$

- Since all 3 of these have to be true, we can conclude that $f(x, y, z) = \sin x + y \cos x + y z^2 + C$ • Not all $P(x, y)\hat{i} + Q(x, y)\hat{j}$ are gradients!

- Example: $\nabla f(x,y) = y\hat{i} x\hat{j}$ $\begin{array}{l} \text{Kninple: } \sqrt{f(x,y)} = yt - xf \\ & * f_x = y \implies f_{xy} = 1 \\ & * f_y = -x \implies f_{yx} = -1 \\ & * \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x} \neq \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} \\ & \text{Since mixed partials do not agree, but all derivatives are continuous, this contradicts Clairaut's} \end{array}$
 - theorem so f could not exist
- Theorem: Let P and Q be functions of two variables, each continuous and differentiable, then $P(x, y)\hat{i} + \hat{i}$ $Q(x,y)\hat{j}$ is a gradient iff $\frac{\partial P}{\partial y}(x,y) = \frac{\partial Q}{\partial x}(x,y)$
 - In 3 dimensions, we need to apply this 3 times (comparing $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial z} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial z \partial x}$), but there's no need for the third order partials