Lecture 8, Sep 27, 2021

Continuity & Discontinuity

- The formal definition of continuity at a point is f(x) is continuous at c iff $\lim f(x) = f(c)$
- Types of discontinuity:



3. lim DNE or f(c) DNE

Continuity Theorems

- Many important theorems hold true only for continuous functions, so it is important to be able to prove that functions are continuous
 - e.g. Continuity implies integrability; if f(x) is continuous on [a, b] then $\int_a^b f(x) dx$ is guaranteed

to exist (note: it might not be able to be expressed using already defined mathematical operations, but it must exist)

- There are continuity theorems just like limit theorems:
 - 1. Additivity Continuity Theorem: f(x) and g(x) continuous at $c \implies f(x) + g(x)$ continuous at c– Proofs are trivial using the corresponding limit theorems
 - 2. Product Continuity Theorem: f(x) and g(x) continuous at $c \implies f(x)g(x)$ continuous at c3. ...
- Composite Function Continuity Theorem: Given g(x) is continuous at a and f(x) is continuous at the number g(a), then f(g(x)) is continuous

- Using this we can test continuity for nested expressions, e.g. $f(x) = \tan\left(\frac{\pi x^2}{4}\right)$ at x = 1

One-Sided Continuity

- Just like limits, continuity can also be one-handed
- f(x) is continuous on the left at c iff $\lim_{x \to c^-} f(x) = f(c)$; f(x) is continuous on the right at c iff $\lim_{x \to c^+} f(x) = f(c)$

• e.g.
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1+x^2 & x \ge 0\\ x^2 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$
 is continuous from the right at 0 and discontinuous from the left at 0

Continuity On an Interval

- f(x) is continuous on the open interval (a, b) iff f(x) is continuous for all $x \in (a, b)$
- f(x) is continuous on the closed interval [a, b] iff f(x) is continuous for all $x \in (a, b)$ and f(x) is continuous from the right at a and f(x) is continuous from the left at b
 - Notice that this doesn't require f(x) to be continuous on both sides at a and b; just one-handed continuity is okay

Intermediate Value Theorem

• Given f(x) continuous on [a, b] and f(a) < C < f(b) or f(a) > C > f(b), there exists some $c \in [a, b]$ such that f(c) = C

- The point it that continuous functions don't "skip over" y values; without irrationals and transcendentals the IVT cannot be satisfied so continuity breaks
- Using this we can prove that there exists a number $\sqrt{2}$ such that $\sqrt{2}\sqrt{2} = 2$, which is much harder to do with CORA alone
 - Take $f(x) = x^2$, which is continuous over [1, 2] by the Polynomial CT
 - -f(1) = 1 < 2 < f(2) = 4 so by the IVT there exists some number 1 < c < 2 such that $c^2 = 2$
- We can also define transcendentals: $\sin x = 0$ at $x = \pi$, so take $f(x) = \sin x$ and find a point where $\sin x > 0$ and a point where $\sin x < 0$, so this implies that somewhere in this range there exists a c such that $\sin c = 0$
- The IVT is only true because of the existence of irrational numbers, so it could be used to "create" the irrationals; instead of having CORA, we could have started with an Intermediate Value *Axiom* and then proved the Completeness of the Reals *Theorem*, but this is messy because we would need to first define functions

Bonus: Proof of the IVT

- Proof from pages 50-52 of supplement
- Lemma: Given f(x) continuous on [a, b] and f(a) < 0 < f(b), there exists a number a < c < b for which f(c) = 0
 - Let S be the set of all numbers $x \in [a, b]$ such that f(x) < 0
 - We know that S contains x in some interval $[a, a + \delta)$
 - * Because $\lim_{x \to a^+} f(x)$ exists, if we take $\varepsilon = |f(a)|$, then |f(x) f(a)| < |f(a)| = -f(a) for $a < x < a + \delta$
 - * Therefore $|f(x) f(a)| < |f(a)| \implies f(a) |f(a)| < f(x) < f(a) + |f(a)| \implies 2f(a) < f(x) < 0$, and since $f(x) < 0, x \in S$
 - This means that S is nonempty and bounded above, so by CORA it has a least upper bound c
 - It is not possible for f(c) > 0:
 - * Suppose f(c) > 0
 - * There exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|x c| < \delta \implies |f(x) f(c)| < f(c)$ (in this case $\varepsilon = f(c)$, which is assumed positive)
 - * $|f(x) f(c)| < f(c) \implies f(c) f(c) < f(x) < f(c) + f(c) \implies 0 < f(x)$, when $c \delta < x \le c$ or $c \le x < c + \delta$
 - * Since f(x) > 0 for $c \in (c \delta, c)$, these values are not in S and thus are upper bounds of S; but this contradicts the assumption that c is the least upper bound, so f(c) > 0 is not possible
 - Similarly f(c) < 0 is also not possible, so by process of elimination f(c) = 0 (note: f(c) has to exist since $c \in [a, b]$ and f(x) is continuous over that range)
- Now suppose we define $g(x) \equiv f(x) C$, then $f(a) < C \implies g(a) = f(a) C < 0$, and $C < f(b) \implies 0 < f(b) C = g(b)$ and by addition CT g(x) is continuous over [a, b]; therefore there exists some c such that $g(c) = 0 \implies f(c) = C$ by the lemma
- Similarly this can be proven for the case where f(a) > f(b)