Lecture 26, Nov 16, 2021

Convergence

- The result of convergence is a single recommendation of the best design
- Key concepts:
 - Verification: How have you verified that your design is the best? Does the recommended design meet the requirements?
 - * Show multiple concepts and show that you've gone through a rigorous process of comparison
 - * Must meet the requirements
 - * Validation: Taking a recommendation back to the stakeholders and asking does it meet their needs?
 - This verifies that your requirements are good in the first place
 - Prototyping and Research
 - Measuring and Comparing
 - Proxy Testing
 - Recommending a Design
- The design critique is a 5 minute presentation followed by 7 minutes of question and answer
 - Every member must speak for the presentation
 - Must demonstrate that you've compared the recommended design against at least 3 other credible candidate design concepts (things that could work but not as good)
 - * Not necessarily the same as ones in alpha
 - * Every concept must have a prototype
- Critical metrics should have consistent measuring processes
- Converging to a recommended design:
 - 1. Acknowledge that your preconceived idea of "best" may not be actually the best
 - Look at designs critically and don't be biased in your measurement process
 - 2. Figure out why exactly you think those ideas are "best", so you can codify these implicit criteria to better understand the requirements
 - 3. Gather data (measurement or research) to enable verification
 - What can I prototype? What do I need research for?
 - 4. Systematically compare the designs to determine whether they should remain candidates - Especially when the candidates are best in different aspects
 - 5. Eliminate some designs, refine some designs, refine the requirements and return to step 2
 - The outcome of convergence may be that there is no appropriate design that meets the requirements
 - Use your own judgement!

Pairwise Comparison Matrices

- Codifying your biases and turning them into requirements
- Compare two candidates at a time, determine which is better, and do this across all candidate pairs to make a matrix of comparisons
- Two ways:
 - 1. Pick a specific requirement and compare based on just this alone
 - Approximate the utility curve, and use it and the metric measurements to compare two designs
 - In the end in each cell is either a 1 or a 0 the design is either better or worse
 - 2. Compare holistically as a design
 - Think about things as a whole
 - Talk out loud or take notes so you're concious about what you're thinking
 - Designate two champions that argue for each design and a recorder and run a pairwise comparison of the alternatives
 - $\ast\,$ The things that come out during this argument are noted and checked
 - * The recorder marks down the characteristics that are being mentioned

- After marking down all the cells, sum up the "wins" for each design and pick out the ones with more wins
 - Review the ones that have very little wins and think about why: Did we consider all the requirements? Why was this idea included in the first place?

Measurement Matrices

- Compute measurements according to metrics for each candidate
- Think about the precision needed to enable comparison don't spend time measuring them too precisely if that's not useful
- Measurements can be absolute or relative; if it's too hard to measure absolutely just figure out which design measures better
- The points of these is to enable comparison for selection, not to actually evaluate them in the real world